

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,068	09/19/2006	Bernardus Hendrikus Wilhelmus Hendriks	NL 040307	3729
24737 PHILIPS INTE	7590 10/22/200 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001			MOONEY, MICHAEL P	
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2883	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

TH

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/599,068	HENDRIKS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael P. Mooney	2883			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		;			
<ul> <li>4)   Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr</li> <li>5)   Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)   Claim(s) 1,2 and 5-8 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)   Claim(s) 3,4 and 9-11 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)   Claim(s) are subject to restriction and an are subject.</li> </ul>	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the sheet of the shee	ccepted or b) objected to by the leed of a comparison of the leed of a comparison of the drawing	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/18/07, 1/18/07.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/599,068

Art Unit: 2883

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1, 2, 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feenstra et al. (WO 03/071335 A2).

Feenstra et al. teaches all the elements of claim 1 (figures 1a, 1b, 2) with the exception of explicitly mentioning "birefringent part". Feenstra et al.does, however, teach polarizers/retardation foils at page 4 line 12 with respect to figures 1a, 1b, 2. Furthermore, it is conventionally known to use birefringent part(s) for polarizers/retardation foils. Therefore, claim 1 is rendered obvious by Feenstra et al.

Thus claim 1 is rejected.

Furthermore, claims 2, 5-8 are thus clearly rendered obvious via the above rejection of claim 1 and figures 1a, 1b, 2. Thus claims 2, 5-8 are rejected.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-4, 9-11 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Each one of claims 3-4, 9-11 contains subject matter that patentably distinguishes over the prior art.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael P. Mooney whose telephone number is 571-272-2422. The examiner can normally be reached during weekdays, M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G. Font can be reached on 571-272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/599,068

Art Unit: 2883

Page 4

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael P. Mooney

Examiner Art Unit 2883

FGF/mpm 9/30/07

Frank G. Font

Frank & Fort

**Supervisory Patent Examiner** 

Art Unit 2883